

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/805,089   | 03/19/2004  | Jon Lee Curzon       | 6770200-0001            | 7700             |
| 38226 7590 10/15/2009<br>Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP<br>111 S. Wacker Drive |             |                      | EXAM                    | UNER             |
|  |             |                      | FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P |                  |
| Chicago, IL 60   | 0606-4410   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 1792                    |                  |
|  |             |                      |                         |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE               | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 10/15/2009              | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

| Application No.         | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-------------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/805,089              | CURZON ET AL. |  |
| Examiner                | Art Unit      |  |
| William P. Fletcher III | 1792          |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

| Status      |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|
| 1)🛛         | Responsive to communication(s) fi      | led on <u>11 May 2009</u> .  |
| 2a) <u></u> | This action is FINAL.                  | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |
| 3)          | Since this application is in condition | n for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |

closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-39 and 82 is/are pending in the application.     |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.      |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 82</u> is/are rejected.                     |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>5-39</u> is/are objected to.                         |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |
| OVER The annuities in this stand to be the Francisco                |  |  |  |

# The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

| 1.  | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.                                     |
|-----|--|
| 2.  | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                    |
| 3.□ | Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage |

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

| Attachment(s)  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/08) | 5) Notice of Informal Patert Application              |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:   |  |

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

Application/Control Number: 10/805,089 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment and remarks filed May 11, 2009, are noted with appreciation.
- Claims 1-3, 5-39, and 82, remain pending.

#### Response to Arguments

- The objections and rejections set forth in the prior Office action are withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- Upon further consideration of the art of record, the Examiner sets forth new rejections herein below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 2, and 82, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,756,839 A.
  - A. This reference teaches a composition containing 20-47 wt.-% potassium carbonate, 5-30 wt.-% of a potassium salt of an organic acid, and 0.4-10 wt.-% boric acid [2:63-3:5], the balance water [3:58]. Since Applicant discloses boric acid as meeting the definition of a *microbe-inhibiting compound* at 31:4-5, all of the required limitations of the independent claims (a, b, and e). Further, since the

Application/Control Number: 10/805,089 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

claims do not require that the boron-containing component and the microbeinhibiting compound be different, the limitations of claim 2 is met as well.

B. It is the Examiner's position that, since claims 1, 2, and 82, do not positively recite that the composition is actually applied to a material, this is merely a statement of the intended use of the composition. See *Catalina Marketing International, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.*, 62 USPQ2d 1781 (CAFC 2002). Further, it is clear that the burning/smoke/microbial growth inhibitive properties of the claim arise solely from the composition thereof. Since the cited art teaches all of the claimed compositional limitations, it is the Examiner's position that the composition of the prior art is capable of the recited burning/smoke/microbial growth inhibition, were it employed according to the intended use of the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was Application/Control Number: 10/805,089 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US

4,756,839 A, as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of DE 41 08 341 A1.

US '839 does not expressly teach a surfactant.

b. EP '341 teaches that it is known to add, to a fire extinguishing composition

including an alkaline metal inorganic salt and a potassium salt of an organic acid,

a detergent (i.e., a surfactant).

c. It is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one skilled

in the art to modify the composition of US '839 so as to add thereto a surfactant.

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so by the desire and

expectation of yielding desired wetting properties of the extinguishing solution.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 5-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: The prior art neither teaches nor suggests the claimed composition having the

additional components recited in these claims.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/805,089

Art Unit: 1792

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Sunday, 5:00 AM - 12:00 PM and Monday through Friday, 5:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William Phillip Fletcher III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792